

Pupil Premium Review: 2018 – 2019

| Pastoral and Inclusion Staff | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost Planned £45,000 Actual £51,510.18 |
| To build emotional resilience and literacy of individual children. | Intervention programmes recommended by GHLL run by trained staff. ELSA trained staff used | Improved scores on Boxhall profiles of individual children ELSA baseline used as well as Boxhall, ELSA resources | Data from Boxhall profile/ELSA baseline shows improvements for all children. | |
| To improve self-esteem, social skills and behaviour of identified pupils. | Nurture Room support for individual children. | Improved scores on Boxhall profiles of individual children | Data from Boxhall profile shows improvements for all children. | |
| To improve transition between the playground and classroom. | Inclusion workers/play leaders to report back to pastoral lead and class teachers | Observations of playtimes and behaviour logs show that PP children are happy at playtimes and are included in play. Improvements in individual behaviour of PP children at playtimes. | Some inclusion workers have also been trained as ELSA trained TAs to provide further SEMH support for Pupil Premium children. | |
| To work to provide a supportive framework for pupils and their families | PAIL to work with PP families and outside agencies to improve | Vulnerable children and their families feel well supported by the school | School has strong support frameworks for vulnerable pupils and | |



| SEMH support and keep | which improves SEMH of | their families. Excellent | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| children safe. | disadvantaged pupils. | multi agency working | |
| | | improving outcomes for | |
| | | pupils. | |
| ventions and 1:1 for specific | children | , · · | |
| | | | |
| Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did | Lessons learned | Cost |
| | we meet the success | (whether we will | Planned £18000 |
| | criteria? | continue with this | Actual £18,642.50 |
| | | approach) | |
| BRP programme | Reading scores improved | Although the programme | |
| | in the short term. | /support improves | |
| Teacher employed to | Some improvements for | children's reading in the | |
| | some children | short term this does not | |
| · · | | transfer into long term | |
| ' | | _ | |
| Teacher employed to | | | |
| • • | | | |
| | | | |
| _ | | | |
| | | | |
| | Some improvement in | As above | 3 rd space |
| • | attainment from some | | £3582 + |
| Extra maths group | children. | | |
| Phonics programmes | Improves phonics and | As above | Resources £1260.01 |
| | spelling whilst the | | |
| | I | | |
| | | | |
| | gaps. | | |
| | children safe. ventions and 1:1 for specific Chosen action/approach BRP programme Teacher employed to work with Y6 pupils on comprehension Teacher employed to work with children in Y3/4 working on comprehension and writing Catch up Maths Third Space Learning Extra maths group | children safe. children safe. disadvantaged pupils. children Chosen action/approach Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? Reading scores improved in the short term. Some improvements for some children Teacher employed to work with Children in Y3/4 working on comprehension and writing Catch up Maths Third Space Learning Extra maths group Phonics programmes disadvantaged pupils. Stimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? Reading scores improved in the short term. Some improvements for some children Some children Improvement in attainment from some children. Improves phonics and spelling whilst the programme is running but not enough to close | children safe. disadvantaged pupils. multi agency working improving outcomes for pupils. ventions and 1:1 for specific children Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? BRP programme Teacher employed to work with Y6 pupils on comprehension Teacher employed to work with children in Y3/4 working on comprehension and writing Catch up Maths Third Space Learning Extra maths group Phonics programmes disadvantaged pupils. multi agency working improving outcomes for youpils. Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) Although the programme /support improves children's reading in the short term this does not transfer into long term attainment of pupils. As above As above As above |



| Other TA interventions for 1:1 pupils. Staff CPD and supply for spe | Resilience/friendship groups | Improved relationships, children more ready to learn after break/lunch | Children not always using learnt skills but having the TA who ran the groups on the playground helps remind them of strategies to use. | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost Planned £1000 Actual total £8926.42 |
| To improve the understanding of children with varying needs | Precision teaching training EP commissioning | A better support for vulnerable children | Staff and children have a more positive approach | £3310.00 JS £471.54? |
| Circle of Friends training | Training from the educational psychologist for TAs who work 1:1 with vulnerable children | Intervention hasn't as yet been used but some of the strategies have helped TA practice | It is difficult to administer with other SEMH groups going on at the same time. | Included in above |
| Closing the Gap Literacy KS1 | Deputy head to pass on strategies to class teachers | | To be assessed | CtG Improving literacy 500.00 |
| Closing the Gap Literacy KS2 | Assistant Head to pass on strategies to class teachers | | To be assessed | CtG Improving literacy 500.00 |
| Improved tracking of provision for vulnerable children | EduKey Provision Map | Staff using it to write My Plans, interventions tracked by SENDCo/PAIL | Continue with programme | 2385.00 |



| Tracking and recording safeguarding issues. | CPOMS | DSL/DDSL able to track vulnerable children. More secure recording | Continue | 22.50 | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Funding for extra curricular, curriculum enrichment and extended day | | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost Planned £2,500 Actual £5,894.75 | | |
| PP children have the same opportunities as other children. | Some children offered funding for breakfast club to ensure a calm start to the school day Residential trips partly or fully funded. | Children starting the school day much calmer. Social skills, independence, perseverance and team work developed through participation in residential trips | This is a positive use of the funding. Continue Continue to offer funding for residential trips to enable PP children to have the same opportunities as other pupils | Clubs for Kids £119.75 Breakfast club £794.00 Twiglets £923.00 Morfa Bay £2600.00 Wilderness £1042.00 Y2 camp £206.00 Piano £160.00 Guitar 50.00 | | |



| Counselling, play and art therapy | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost | |
| Vulnerable children who have or are struggling with SEMH and/or a chaotic home life have an opportunity to express themselves through counselling or therapy. | 1:1 counselling Teens in Crisis Sand tray play therapy run by qualified EP Sandtray play run by trained ELSA TA Art therapy run by qualified therapist | Children used the sessions to express their emotions without having to talk about them. Adults running the groups occasionally referred to social care as further concerns emerged. | For specific emotional needs we would commission this sort of support again | Planned £2000 Actual £850 | |
| Structured Conversations | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost | |
| Parents of vulnerable children have quality and private discussions with teachers, improving the performance of the children | Supply teachers employed to enable teachers to have time to meet with parents. Lead teacher overtime to assess the children | Teachers felt this time was valuable but sometimes it was difficult to engage the parents. | To continue and ensure we follow up any parents who do not attend. | Planned £3000 Actual £565.21 + Supply £1487.50 | |



| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated Impact. Did we meet the success criteria? | Lessons learned (whether we will continue with this approach) | Cost |
|---|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| For children to feel part of the school community | Parents approached by PAIL Uniform bought for children who attract FSM | Pupils feel part of the school | Pupils to continue to be supported to feel part of the school | Planned £700 Actual £34 |
| | | | | Total: £87,910 |